U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58

Project Information

Project Name: Bernice-Hutcherson

HEROS Number: 900000010126836

Responsible Entity (RE): WICHITA, CITY HALL WICHITA KS, 67202

RE Preparer: Brad Snapp

State / Local Identifier:

Certifying Officer: Robert Layton

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Ent

ity):

Point of Contact:

Consultant (if applicabl Spectrum Environmental, Inc.

e):

Point of Contact: Jamie Cox

Project Location: 2040 N Wellington Pl, Wichita, KS 67203

Additional Location Information:

N/A

AMP or AMPs, if any, covered in this review:

AMP 1 AMP 2	AMP 3	AMP 4	AMP 5
-------------	-------	-------	-------

KS004000001				
-------------	--	--	--	--

Does this review cover a full or partial AMP?

✓ Full AMP.

Partial AMP.

N/A

Direct Comments to:

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

The City of Wichita Housing Authority proposes to covert all of its multifamily senior properties to HUD's Rental Assistance Demonstration Pilot Program in June, 2021. Bernice Hutcherson is included. It is an 18-unit, complex consisting of six triplex buildings and a community building for the residents that contains a kitchenette, a large meeting room, laundry room, office for property manager or visiting social worker, and restrooms. The proposed scope of work includes the following. Replace existing HVAC system with new VTAC Vertical Air Conditioner system, replace domestic hot water heaters, renovate and reconfigure kitchens and bathrooms, remove and replace all interior drywall throughout the buildings, install new upper and base kitchen cabinets including new counter tops and sinks, remove and replace bath tubs and lavatories, vinyl flooring and install a new ventilation fan in bathrooms, replace electrical wiring throughout the buildings, replace all electrical fixture throughout the buildings, renovate and reconfigure community building, install ADA ramp on exterior of community building leading into basement, make facade modifications, and landscaping improvements. The City of Wichita has committed an estimated total HUD funding amount of \$264,172, which includes the following sources. Public Housing Capital Fund Program dollars from the 2019 grant totaling \$92,700. City of Wichita Community Development Block Grant Revolving Loan funding totaling \$92,356. And \$79,116 from HOME Investment Partnerships Program 2021 grant.

Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

Bernice Hutcherson is a public housing complex with six triplex buildings for a total of 18 one-bedroom units and a community building/clubhouse. Abundant green space surrounds the residential buildings and a covered picnic area sits at the rear of the clubhouse. Common area includes a community room with kitchenette, laundry facility, restrooms and an office. The office can be used by community agency workers and the property manager as needed. The clubhouse has a basement foundation. The Wichita Housing Authority (WHA) owns Bernice Hutcherson and is planning on converting it to the HUD Rental Assistance Program with Project Based Rental Assistance late 2020. The 39 year old property has never been updated.

Existing Conditions and Trends [24 CFR 58.40(a)]:

The target property has been improved with seven buildings which contain a total of 18 one-bedroom units that were constructed in 1981. Surrounding properties are

predominately of commercial and residential uses.

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

Appendix A - Figures 3.pdf Appendix A - Figures 2.pdf Appendix A - Figures 1.pdf

Determination:

✓	Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.13] The			
	project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of human			
	environment			
	Finding of Significant Impact			

Approval Documents:

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer

on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer

on:

Funding Information

Grant / Project Identification	HUD Program	Program Name
Number		
	Community Planning and	Community Development Block Grants
B-15-MC-20-0004	Development (CPD)	(CDBG) (Entitlement)
	Community Planning and	Community Development Block Grants
B-18-MC-200004	Development (CPD)	(CDBG) (Entitlement)
		Public Housing Capital Fund (including CFFP
KS01P004501-18	Public Housing	and other grants)
		Public Housing Capital Fund (including CFFP
KS01P004501-19	Public Housing	and other grants)
		Public Housing Capital Fund (including CFFP
KS01P004501-20	Public Housing	and other grants)
	Community Planning and	
M-18-MC-20-0204	Development (CPD)	HOME Program
	Community Planning and	
M-20-MC-20-0204	Development (CPD)	HOME Program
	Community Planning and	
M-21-MC-20-0204	Development (CPD)	HOME Program

Estimated Total HUD Funded,
Assisted or Insured Amount:

\$264,172.00

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) \$2,416,572.00 **(5)]:**

Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source determinations)
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD	ERS, AND REGULATIO	NS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6
Airport Hazards Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	□ Yes ☑ No	The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements.
Coastal Barrier Resources Act Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]	□ Yes ☑ No	This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.
Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001- 4128 and 42 USC 5154a]	□ Yes ☑ No	The structure or insurable property is not located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements.
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD	ERS, AND REGULATIO	NS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93	□ Yes ☑ No	The project's county or air quality management district is in attainment status for all criteria pollutants. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. A copy of the EPA Green Book Nonattainment Areas for Criteria Pollutants (8-31-2020) is attached. Wichita Kansas is in attainment.
Coastal Zone Management Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is located in a state that

Constal Zana Managamant Ast		dana wat wantisinata in the Casatal Zana
Coastal Zone Management Act,		does not participate in the Coastal Zone
sections 307(c) & (d)		Management Program. Therefore, this
		project is in compliance with the Coastal
		Zone Management Act.
Contamination and Toxic	☐ Yes ☑ No	Site contamination was evaluated as
Substances		follows: ASTM Phase I ESA. On-site or
24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]		nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive
		substances that could affect the health
		and safety of project occupants or
		conflict with the intended use of the
		property were not found. The project is
		in compliance with contamination and
		toxic substances requirements.
Endangered Species Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project will have No Effect on listed
Endangered Species Act of 1973,		species due to the nature of the
particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part		activities involved in the project. This
402		project is in compliance with the
402		Endangered Species Act.
Explosive and Flammable Hazards	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description the
Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part	LI TES EL INO	project includes no activities that would
• -		' · · ·
51 Subpart C		require further evaluation under this
		section. The project is in compliance
		with explosive and flammable hazard
		requirements.
Farmlands Protection	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project does not include any
Farmland Protection Policy Act of		activities that could potentially convert
1981, particularly sections 1504(b)		agricultural land to a non-agricultural
and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658		use. The project is in compliance with
		the Farmland Protection Policy Act.
Floodplain Management	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project does not occur in a
Executive Order 11988, particularly		floodplain. The project is in compliance
section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55		with Executive Order 11988.
Historic Preservation	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on Section 106 consultation the
National Historic Preservation Act of		project will have No Adverse Effect on
1966, particularly sections 106 and		historic properties. Conditions: None.
110; 36 CFR Part 800		Upon satisfactory implementation of
		the conditions, which should be
		monitored, the project is in compliance
		with Section 106.
Noise Abatement and Control	☐ Yes ☑ No	A Noise Assessment was conducted. The
Noise Control Act of 1972, as		noise level was acceptable: 62.0 db. See
amended by the Quiet Communities		noise analysis. The project is in
Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart		compliance with HUD's Noise
B		regulation.
Sole Source Aquifers	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description, the
•	I I E I I I I I I I	
Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as		project consists of activities that are

amended, particularly section		unlikely to have an adverse impact on
1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149		groundwater resources. The project is in
		compliance with Sole Source Aquifer
		requirements.
Wetlands Protection	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description this
Executive Order 11990, particularly		project includes no activities that would
sections 2 and 5		require further evaluation under this
		section. The project is in compliance
		with Executive Order 11990.
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is not within proximity of a
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968,		NWSRS river. The project is in
particularly section 7(b) and (c)		compliance with the Wild and Scenic
		Rivers Act.
HUD HOUSING ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS		
	ENVIRONMENTAL J	USTICE
Environmental Justice	☐ Yes ☑ No	No adverse environmental impacts were
Executive Order 12898		identified in the project's total
		environmental review. The project is in
		compliance with Executive Order 12898.

Environmental Assessment Factors [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 &1508.27]

Impact Codes: An impact code from the following list has been used to make the determination of impact for each factor.

- (1) Minor beneficial impact
- (2) No impact anticipated
- (3) Minor Adverse Impact May require mitigation
- **(4)** Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement.

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
	LAN	ND DEVELOPMENT	
Conformance with Plans / Compatible Land Use and Zoning / Scale and Urban Design	2	The site contains preexisting structures that will be renovated. There will be no clearing or new construction associated with this project, therefore there will be no impacts that will affect the conformance with plans, zoning, land use, scale/urban design.	
Soil Suitability / Slope/ Erosion / Drainage and Storm Water Runoff	2	The site contains preexisting structures that will be renovated. There will be no clearing or new construction associated	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation		
Assessment Factor	Code				
LAND DEVELOPMENT					
		with this project, therefore no impacts are expected in regard to the soil suitability, slopes, erosion/drainage or stormwater runoff.			
Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Site-Generated Noise	2	The site contains preexisting structures that will be renovated. There will be no clearing or new construction associated with this project. There are no anticipated hazards or nuisances associated with this project.			
Energy Consumption/Energy Efficiency	2	No impacts are anticipated.			
	S	OCIOECONOMIC			
Employment and Income Patterns	2	No impacts are anticipated.			
Demographic Character Changes / Displacement	2	No impacts are anticipated.			
C	COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES				
Educational and Cultural Facilities (Access and Capacity)	2	No impacts are anticipated.			
Commercial Facilities (Access and Proximity)	2	No impacts are anticipated.			
Health Care / Social Services (Access and Capacity)	2	No impacts are anticipated.			
Solid Waste Disposal and Recycling (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	No impacts are anticipated.			
Waste Water and Sanitary Sewers (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	No impacts are anticipated.			
Water Supply (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	No impacts are anticipated.			
Public Safety - Police, Fire and Emergency Medical	2	No impacts are anticipated.			
Parks, Open Space and Recreation (Access and Capacity)	2	No impacts are anticipated.			
Transportation and Accessibility (Access and	2	No impacts are anticipated.			

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation		
	LAND DEVELOPMENT				
Capacity)					
	NA	TURAL FEATURES			
Unique Natural Features /Water Resources	2	There will be no clearing or new construction associated with this project, therefore, no impacts are anticipated.			
Vegetation / Wildlife (Introduction, Modification, Removal, Disruption, etc.)	2	There will be no clearing or new construction associated with this project, therefore, no impacts are anticipated.			
Other Factors	2	There will be no clearing or new construction associated with this project, therefore, no impacts are anticipated.			

Supporting documentation

Additional Studies Performed:

Field Inspection [Optional]: Date and completed

by:

Audrey Henson 3/12/2020 12:00:00 AM

List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

Environmental Risk Information Services (ERIS), Property Contact, and Local Fire Department.

List of Permits Obtained:

Public Outreach [24 CFR 58.43]:

Public notice has been provided to the community for this proposed project and requested funds.

Cumulative Impact Analysis [24 CFR 58.32]:

No impacted anticipated

Alternatives [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]

No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]

It is our opinion that there will be no impacts from the proposed project, as such no actions are recommended. If no actions were taken and this project was not completed, this facility would be unable to continue to provide adequate housing for those in need.

Summary of Findings and Conclusions:

The City of Wichita has determined that based on the findings in this report, there will be no significant adverse environmental impacts as a result of this project, therefore a Finding of No Significant Impact can be made.

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law,	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on	Complete
Authority, or		Completed Measures	
Factor			

Mitigation Plan

Supporting documentation on completed measures

APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities

Airport Hazards

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D
prevent incompatible development		
around civil airports and military airfields.		

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements.

Supporting documentation

Appendix A - Figures 4.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Coastal Barrier Resources

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be	Coastal Barrier Resources Act	
used for most activities in units of the	(CBRA) of 1982, as amended by	
Coastal Barrier Resources System	the Coastal Barrier Improvement	
(CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations	Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	
on federal expenditures affecting the		
CBRS.		

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRA units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

Compliance Determination

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Flood Insurance

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be	Flood Disaster	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1)
used in floodplains unless the community participates	Protection Act of 1973	and 24 CFR 58.6(a)
in National Flood Insurance Program and flood	as amended (42 USC	and (b); 24 CFR
insurance is both obtained and maintained.	4001-4128)	55.1(b).

1. Does this project involve <u>financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or</u> acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?

No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

✓ Yes

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

Appendix A - Figures 6.pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The <u>FEMA Map Service Center</u> provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use the best available information to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site. Provide FEMA/FIRM floodplain zone designation, panel number, and date within your documentation.

Is the structure, part of the structure, or insurable property located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The structure or insurable property is not located in a FEMA-designated Special Flood Hazard Area. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD

recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with flood insurance requirements.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Air Quality

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et seq.)	40 CFR Parts 6, 51
by the U.S. Environmental	as amended particularly Section	and 93
Protection Agency (EPA), which	176(c) and (d) (42 USC 7506(c) and	
sets national standards on ambient	(d))	
pollutants. In addition, the Clean		
Air Act is administered by States,		
which must develop State		
Implementation Plans (SIPs) to		
regulate their state air quality.		
Projects funded by HUD must		
demonstrate that they conform to		
the appropriate SIP.		

1. Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

✓	Yes

No

Air Quality Attainment Status of Project's County or Air Quality Management District

- 2. Is your project's air quality management district or county in non-attainment or maintenance status for any criteria pollutants?
- ✓ No, project's county or air quality management district is in attainment status for all criteria pollutants.

Yes, project's management district or county is in non-attainment or maintenance status for the following criteria pollutants (check all that apply):

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project's county or air quality management district is in attainment status for all criteria pollutants. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. A copy of the EPA Green Book Nonattainment Areas for Criteria Pollutants (8-31-2020) is attached. Wichita Kansas is in attainment.

Supporting documentation

EPA Green Book Nonattainment Areas for Criteria Pollutants 8-31-2020.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Coastal Zone Management Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant	Coastal Zone Management	15 CFR Part 930
agencies for activities affecting	Act (16 USC 1451-1464),	
any coastal use or resource is	particularly section 307(c) and	
granted only when such	(d) (16 USC 1456(c) and (d))	
activities are consistent with		
federally approved State Coastal		
Zone Management Act Plans.		

This project is located in a state that does not participate in the Coastal Zone Management Program. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is located in a state that does not participate in the Coastal Zone Management Program. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Contamination and Toxic Substances

General requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being		24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)
proposed for use in HUD programs be free of		24 CFR 50.3(i)
hazardous materials, contamination, toxic		
chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances,		
where a hazard could affect the health and safety		
of the occupants or conflict with the intended		
utilization of the property.		

- 1. How was site contamination evaluated? Select all that apply. Document and upload documentation and reports and evaluation explanation of site contamination below.
- American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA)
 ASTM Phase II ESA
 Remediation or clean-up plan
 ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening
 None of the Above
- 2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)

✓	N	o

Explain:

No RECs identified in the Phase I.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Site contamination was evaluated as follows: ASTM Phase I ESA. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project

is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.

Supporting documentation

20200407 Bernice Hutcherson.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Endangered Species

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA)	The Endangered	50 CFR Part
mandates that federal agencies ensure that	Species Act of 1973 (16	402
actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out	U.S.C. 1531 et seq.);	
shall not jeopardize the continued existence of	particularly section 7	
federally listed plants and animals or result in the	(16 USC 1536).	
adverse modification or destruction of designated		
critical habitat. Where their actions may affect		
resources protected by the ESA, agencies must		
consult with the Fish and Wildlife Service and/or		
the National Marine Fisheries Service ("FWS" and		
"NMFS" or "the Services").		

1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect specifies or habitats?

✓ No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

This selection is only appropriate if none of the activities involved in the project have potential to affect species or habitats. Examples of actions without potential to affect listed species may include: purchasing existing buildings, completing interior renovations to existing buildings, and replacing exterior paint or siding on existing buildings.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project will have No Effect on listed species due to the nature of the activities involved in the project. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Explosive and Flammable Hazards

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet	N/A	24 CFR Part 51
Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD)		Subpart C
requirements to protect them from		
explosive and flammable hazards.		

1.	Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a
facility	that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as
bulk fu	el storage facilities and refineries)?

✓	No
	Vac

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

✓	No
	110

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Farmlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection	Farmland Protection Policy	7 CFR Part 658
Policy Act (FPPA) discourages	Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201 et	
federal activities that would	seq.)	
convert farmland to		
nonagricultural purposes.		

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes

✓ No

If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Floodplain Management

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988,	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55
Floodplain Management,		
requires federal activities to		
avoid impacts to floodplains		
and to avoid direct and indirect		
support of floodplain		
development to the extent		
practicable.		

1. Do any of the following exemptions apply? Select the applicable citation? [only one selection possible]

55.12(c)(3)

55.12(c)(4)

55.12(c)(5)

55.12(c)(6)

55.12(c)(7)

55.12(c)(8)

55.12(c)(9)

55.12(c)(10)

55.12(c)(11)

✓ None of the above

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

Appendix A - Figures 6.pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use **the best available information** to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site.

Does your project occur in a floodplain?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not occur in a floodplain. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Historic Preservation

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under	Section 106 of the	36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic
Section 106 of the	National Historic	Properties"
National Historic	Preservation Act	http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisi
Preservation Act	(16 U.S.C. 470f)	dx_10/36cfr800_10.html
(NHPA) require a		
consultative process		
to identify historic		
properties, assess		
project impacts on		
them, and avoid,		
minimize, or mitigate		
adverse effects		

Threshold

Is Section 106 review required for your project?

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.)

No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

✓ Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

Step 1 – Initiate Consultation Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):

✓ State Historic Preservation Offer (SHPO) Completed

Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)

Other Consulting Parties

Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here:

Per the Environmental Review Requirements for First Component RAD Conversions, dated March 2019, only HUD staff should contact the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) to request consultation under Section 106.

Document and upload all correspondence, notices and notes (including comments and objections received below).

Step 2 – Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

 Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or uploading a map depicting the APE below:

The Area of Potential Effect (APE) includes the properties across Wellington Place, which is west of Bernice Hutcherson and the properties behind the subject property on the west side of Park Place. An area map and photos of the properties in the APE is attached.

In the chart below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE. Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be included in the chart.

Upload the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination below.

Address / Location	National Register	SHPO Concurrence	Sensitive
/ District	Status		Information

Additional Notes:

2. Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the project?

Yes

✓ No

Step 3 –Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the

Criteria of Adverse Effect. (36 CFR 800.5)] Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per guidance on direct and indirect effects.

Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.

No Historic Properties Affected

✓ No Adverse Effect

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Document reason for finding:

The Kansas State Historic Preservation Office sent a letter approving the project. See attached.

Does the No Adverse Effect finding contain conditions?

Yes (check all that apply)

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload concurrence(s) or objection(s) below.

Adverse Effect

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on Section 106 consultation the project will have No Adverse Effect on historic properties. Conditions: None. Upon satisfactory implementation of the conditions, which should be monitored, the project is in compliance with Section 106.

Supporting documentation

Bernice Hutcherson KS SHPO Approval Letter.pdf

Bernice Hutcherson APE.pdf Appendix A - Figures 7.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Noise Abatement and Control

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD's noise regulations protect	Noise Control Act of 1972	Title 24 CFR 51
residential properties from		Subpart B
excessive noise exposure. HUD	General Services Administration	
encourages mitigation as	Federal Management Circular 75-	
appropriate.	2: "Compatible Land Uses at	
	Federal Airfields"	

1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:

New construction for residential use

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

NOTE: For major or substantial rehabilitation in Normally Unacceptable zones, HUD encourages mitigation to reduce levels to acceptable compliance standards. For major rehabilitation in Unacceptable zones, HUD strongly encourages mitigation to reduce levels to acceptable compliance standards. See 24 CFR 51 Subpart B for further details.

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster None of the above

4. Complete the Preliminary Screening to identify potential noise generators in the vicinity (1000' from a major road, 3000' from a railroad, or 15 miles from an airport).

Indicate the findings of the Preliminary Screening below:

There are no noise generators found within the threshold distances above.

- Noise generators were found within the threshold distances.
- 5. Complete the Preliminary Screening to identify potential noise generators in the
- ✓ Acceptable: (65 decibels or less; the ceiling may be shifted to 70 decibels in circumstances described in §24 CFR 51.105(a))

Indicate noise level here: 62

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload noise analysis, including noise level and data used to complete the analysis below.

Normally Unacceptable: (Above 65 decibels but not exceeding 75 decibels; the floor may be shifted to 70 decibels in circumstances described in §24 CFR 51.105(a))

Unacceptable: (Above 75 decibels)

HUD strongly encourages conversion of noise-exposed sites to land uses compatible with high noise levels.

Check here to affirm that you have considered converting this property to a non-residential use compatible with high noise levels.

Indicate noise level here: 62

Document and upload noise analysis, including noise level and data used to complete the analysis below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

A Noise Assessment was conducted. The noise level was acceptable: 62.0 db. See noise analysis. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation.

Supporting documentation

Noise Breakdown (C-MT-HT).pdf InventoryReport (5).PDF InventoryReport (4).PDF
DNL Calculator - UPDATED1.pdf
Appendix A - Figures 5.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Sole Source Aquifers

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974	Safe Drinking Water Act	40 CFR Part 149
protects drinking water systems	of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 201,	
which are the sole or principal	300f et seq., and 21	
drinking water source for an area and	U.S.C. 349)	
which, if contaminated, would create		
a significant hazard to public health.		

1.	Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing
building	g(s)?

✓ Yes

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description, the project consists of activities that are unlikely to have an adverse impact on groundwater resources. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements.

Supporting documentation

Appendix A - Figures 8.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Wetlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or	Executive Order	24 CFR 55.20 can be
indirect support of new construction impacting	11990	used for general
wetlands wherever there is a practicable		guidance regarding
alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's		the 8 Step Process.
National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a		
primary screening tool, but observed or known		
wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also		
be processed Off-site impacts that result in		
draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands		
must also be processed.		

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

√ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990.

Supporting documentation

Appendix A - Figures 9.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	The Wild and Scenic Rivers	36 CFR Part 297
provides federal protection for	Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287),	
certain free-flowing, wild, scenic	particularly section 7(b) and	
and recreational rivers designated	(c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	
as components or potential		
components of the National Wild		
and Scenic Rivers System (NWSRS)		
from the effects of construction or		
development.		

1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

✓ No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.

Supporting documentation

Appendix A - Figures 10.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Environmental Justice

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project creates	Executive Order 12898	
adverse environmental impacts		
upon a low-income or minority		
community. If it does, engage		
the community in meaningful		
participation about mitigating		
the impacts or move the		
project.		

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1.	Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review
portion	of this project's total environmental review?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.

Supporting documentation

20200407 Bernice Hutcherson(1).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes